Appendix I25

Section/	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)	
Paragraph		
/Policy		
25.2 Infrastructure and Service Delivery		
25.2	Objection	
	1. Insufficient reference to infrastructure provision throughout the Plan.	
	More information is required in relation to Rye Meads STW.	
	3. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan requires more detail including costings, funding sources and	
	consideration of cumulative impacts.	
	4. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan should refer to potential upgrades to the A10.	
	5. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan should refer to Crossrail 2.	
	6. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan should provide greater flexibility	
DEL1	Other Comments and Observations	
	1. The weight of financial contribution should not compromise the delivery of housing.	
	2. Ongoing transport modelling in relation to the A414 in Hertford and a Northern Harlow	
	Bypass may lead to a need to review the District Plan.	
	3. Importance of establishing infrastructure partnerships and governance arrangements.	
25.3 Developer Contributions		
25.3.3	Other Comments and Observations	
	1. The Council should consider adopting Community Infrastructure Levy. Restrictions on	
	pooling of contributions may limit ability to fund infrastructure.	
DEL2	Objection	
	1. The policy adds nothing to the NPPF and therefore should be deleted.	
	2. Waste facilities should be included within the list of infrastructure in Part II of the policy.	

1

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
25.4 Mitigation	
25.4	Objection
	1. There is no mechanism for the Council to intervene when aspects of the Plan are not being delivered.
	2. Monitoring should seek to measure positives as well as loss.
	3. Measuring the number and area of wildlife sites is unlikely to reveal anything of value.